



Report on the evaluation of the international conference


Guide My W@y – A European Career Guidance Concept for International Youth Mobility

Mannheim, 07.07.2016

The International Conference Guide My W@y- A European Career Guidance Concept for International Youth Mobility took place on 07.07.16 at the University of Applied Labour Studies (HdBA) in Mannheim, Germany. The main aim of this conference had been to present the project and its outcomes and to disseminate them to a large audience. The conference started at 10.00 am and ended at 04.00 pm in the afternoon with a total of 84 participants. There had been eight employees from the HdBA, 15 project partners from Spain, Poland, Czech Republic, Lithuania and Germany and 61 external guests from Belgium, France, Germany, Estonia, Slovakia, Azerbaijan, Georgia, Armenia and Spain.


The evaluation form, which has been filled out by 43 participants has been summarised as follows:

Content related evaluation:




Graph 1

In Graph 1, out of the 43 participants of this evaluation, the majority of 40 evaluated the information value of the conference as both very good and good.



Graph 2

In Graph 2, all 43 participants of this evaluation have stated that the moderation and the activities of this event have both been very good and good.




Graph 3

In Graph 3, out of the 43 participants of this evaluation, the majority of 40 evaluated the possibility of exchange with the project partners, such as networking and discussions, as both very good and good.




Organisation and implementation:



Graph 4

In Graph 4, out of the 43 participants of this evaluation, the majority of 41 evaluated the schedule of this event, in regard to the time set-up, as both very good and good.



Graph 5

In Graph 5, out of the 43 participants in this evaluation, a majority of 34 evaluated the framework of the conference as very good whereas nine participants evaluated the framework as good.

How do you evaluate the framework of this event? (Room, Catering, etc.)




Graph 6

In Graph 6, out of the 43 participants in this evaluation, the majority of 38 evaluated the prior preparation and organisation of the conference as very good whereas five participants agreed on the preparation and organisation as being good.


Products of the project:

How do you evaluate the eGuidance Concept?




Graph 7

In Graph 7, out of the 43 participants in this evaluation, a majority of 42 evaluated the eGuidance Concept as both very good and good. One participant evaluated the eGuidance Concept as poor, due to the opinion of the eGuidance Concept as being too similar to the German concept, BeKo.



Graph 8

In Graph 8, out of the 43 participants in this evaluation, a majority of 42 evaluated the interactive E-Learning Internet Portal as being both very good and good. One participant evaluated the interactive E-Learning Internet Portal as poor, due to the opinion that the E-Learning tools are being perceived as too simple.




Graph 9

In Graph 9, out of the 43 participants in this evaluation, a majority of 40 participants evaluated the concept and the idea of virtual counselling as both very good and good.



Recommending the project and its products:

In Graph 10, out of the 43 participants in this evaluation, a majority of 41 agreed on recommending the project and its products to others while two participants disagreed. The disagreements were related to the fact that both participants would have to go through this project and its products before deciding on recommending it to others.



Graph 10

Recommendations, suggestions and impulses:

“It would have been helpful to implement a short presentation at the EURES initial training. It's a pity, that the federal employment agency doesn't work with online systems.”

“The aspect of quality would be important so maybe something to think about after the project.”

“It would have been nice to learn about counselling concepts in other European countries.”

“The next step must be to publish the project to as many organisations and people as possible i.e. DAAD, Eurodesk.”



“A great project that is long overdue. Too bad it doesn't work in Germany. This should be changed.”

“I would have enjoyed a longer discussion. The questions were really interesting.”

“It would have been even better to test the products/tools in small groups with a tutor from the project partners.”

“Concept and idea could be better transported if the Employment Agency allowed access.”